

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,682	02/10/2006	Peter DeRosa	60136.0098USWO	8313	
94140 Merchant & G	7590 08/03/201 ould - Cox	EXAMINER			
PO Box 2903		EKPO, NNENNA NGOZI			
Minneapolis, N	MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2425	2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,682	DEROSA, PETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NNENNA N. EKPO	2425		

	THILLIAN THE EIG O	2420					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on in application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cliperiods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		FINST KEFET WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of the strong of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complex co	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \(\tilde{\tilde{b}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				

/Brian T Pendleton/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment of claim 1 (a recommendation engine for providing a customized viewingrecommendations list for the viewer subsystem based upon the programming data maintained at the distribution head of the content distributor and a customized viewing profile information and viewer content selection history) presents a new issue that will require further consideration and/or search.